

Jason M. Drangel (JD 7204)
jdrangel@ipcounselors.com
Ashly E. Sands (AS 7715)
asands@ipcounselors.com
Brienne Scully (BS 3711)
bscully@ipcounselors.com
Danielle S. Yamali (DY 4228)
dfutterman@ipcounselors.com
EPSTEIN DRANGEL LLP
60 East 42nd Street, Suite 2520
New York, NY 10165
Telephone: (212) 292-5390
Facsimile: (212) 292-5391
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Palace Skateboards Group and
GSLT Holdings Limited

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PALACE SKATEBOARDS GROUP and GSLT
HOLDINGS LIMITED,

Plaintiffs

v.

A5DHGATES, BEIDHGATE,
BRANDFACTORYSELLER, BUFEN, BUSHU,
BUYCHEAPDOORS, BUYLINNIAOS,
CJJDHGATEBAGS, DHGATEJJJ,
DHGATEYUNWAN, EXTREMELY_COLD_PLACE,
FENGXIDHGATE, FUSHANCHEN,
GOODSUGOODS, GUOZHENDHGATE,
HONESTTRADE688, JAMYDHHATEUUS,
JDELECTRONIC6, JKINGS, JUBU, LING16888,
LING1888, LING188866, LUANDHGATES,
MIMIKU, MMDHGATES, NEWCLOTHESJJ,
NEWTIMESDHGATES, PPDHGATES, QIYUE02,
SONGDHGATES, TAobao INTERNATIONAL,
TOM_COSTUME, XDHGATESX and YDHGATEYS,

Defendants

Civil Case No.: 21-cv-3949 (LAK)






~~PROPOSED~~

1) TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
ORDER; 2) ORDER RESTRAINING
MERCHANT STOREFRONTS AND
DEFENDANTS' ASSETS WITH
THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS;
3) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
SHOULD NOT ISSUE; 4) ORDER
AUTHORIZING BIFURCATED
AND ALTERNATIVE SERVICE;
AND 5) ORDER AUTHORIZING
EXPEDITED DISCOVERY

FILED UNDER SEAL

GLOSSARY

Term	Definition
Palace	Palace Skateboards Group
GSLT	GSLT Holdings Limited
Plaintiffs	Palace and GSLT
Defendants	a5dhgates, beidhgate, brandfactoryseller, bufen, bushu, buycheapdoors, buylinniaos, cjjdhgatebags, dhgatejjj, dhgateyunwan, extremely_cold_place, fengxidhgate, fushanchen, goodsugoods, guozhendhgate, honesttrade688, jamydhgateuus, jdelectronic6, jkings, jubu, ling16888, ling1888, ling188866, luandhgate, mimiku, mmdhgate, newclothesjj, newtimesdhgate, ppdhgate, qiyue02, songdhgate, taobao_international, tom_costume, xdghatesx and ydhgateys
DHgate	Dunhuang Group d/b/a DHgate.com, an online marketplace and e-commerce platform which allows manufacturers, wholesalers and other third-party merchants, like Defendants, to advertise, distribute, offer for sale, sell and ship their wholesale and retail products originating from China directly to consumers worldwide and specifically to consumers residing in the U.S., including New York
Epstein Drangel	Epstein Drangel LLP, counsel for Plaintiffs
New York Address	244 Madison Ave, Suite 411, New York, New York 10016
Complaint	Plaintiffs' Complaint
Application	Plaintiffs' <i>Ex Parte</i> Application for: 1) a temporary restraining order; 2) an order restraining Merchant Storefronts (as defined <i>infra</i>) and Defendants' Assets (as defined <i>infra</i>) with the Financial Institutions (as defined <i>infra</i>); 3) an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; 4) an order authorizing bifurcated and alternative service and 5) an order authorizing expedited discovery
Hussey Dec.	Declaration of Thomas Hussey in Support of Plaintiffs' Application
Drangel Dec.	Declaration of Jason M. Drangel in Support of Plaintiffs' Application
Palace Products	A London-based skateboard shop and clothing brand, which focuses on skate wear with heavy 1990s and pop culture influences alongside VHS style marketing found online or in Palace's four international stores, including, London, Los Angeles, New York and Tokyo, where it releases new products every Friday morning across its Spring/Summer/Autumn/Winter/Holiday seasons.
Palace Marks	U.S. Trademark Registration Nos.: 5,225,520 for "PALACE" for goods in Classes 18, 25, 28 and 35;

	<p>5,197,782 for “PALACE SKATEBOARDS” for goods</p>  <p>in Classes 28 and 35; 4,762,471 for “SKATEBOARDS” for goods in Classes 18, 25 and 28; 6,055,983 for “</p>  <p>” for goods in Class 25; 5,711,377</p>  <p>for “ ” for goods in Class 25;</p>  <p>5,220,519 for “ ” for goods in Classes 18, 25, 28 and 35; 6,254,169 for “PALACE” for goods in Classes 35 and 41; and 6,254,168 for “</p>  <p>” for goods in Classes 35 and 41</p>
Counterfeit Products	Products bearing or used in connection with the Palace Marks, and/or products in packaging and/or containing labels bearing the Palace Marks, and/or bearing or used in connection with marks that are confusingly similar to the Palace Marks and/or products that are identical or confusingly similar to the Off-White Products
Infringing Listings	Defendants’ listings for Counterfeit Products
User Accounts	Any and all websites and any and all accounts with online marketplace platforms such as DHgate, as well as any and all as yet undiscovered accounts with additional online marketplace platforms held by or associated with Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them
Merchant Storefronts	Any and all User Accounts through which Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants

	and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them operate storefronts to manufacture, import, export, advertise, market, promote, distribute, display, offer for sale, sell and/or otherwise deal in Counterfeit Products, which are held by or associated with Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them
Defendants' Assets	Any and all money, securities or other property or assets of Defendants (whether said assets are located in the U.S. or abroad)
Defendants' Financial Accounts	Any and all financial accounts associated with or utilized by any Defendants or any Defendants' User Accounts or Merchant Storefront(s) (whether said account is located in the U.S. or abroad)
Financial Institutions	DHgate (<i>e.g.</i> , DHpay.com), PayPal Inc. ("PayPal"), Payoneer Inc. ("Payoneer") and PingPong Global Solutions, Inc. ("PingPong")
Third Party Service Providers	Online platforms, including, without limitation, those owned and operated, directly or indirectly by DHgate, as well as any and all as yet undiscovered online marketplace platforms and/or entities through which Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them manufacture, import, export, advertise, market, promote, distribute, offer for sale, sell and/or otherwise deal in Counterfeit Products which are hereinafter identified as a result of any order entered in this action, or otherwise

On this day, the Court considered Plaintiffs' *ex parte* application for the following: 1) a temporary restraining order; 2) an order restraining Merchant Storefronts and Defendants' Assets with the Financial Institutions; 3) an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; 4) an order authorizing bifurcated and alternative service and 5) an order authorizing expedited discovery against Defendants, Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions in light of Defendants' intentional and willful offerings for sale and/or sales of Counterfeit Products.¹ A complete list of Defendants is attached hereto as **Schedule A**, which also includes links to Defendants' Merchant Storefronts and Infringing Listings. Having reviewed the Application, Declarations of Thomas Hussey and Jason M. Drangel, along with exhibits attached thereto and other evidence submitted in support thereof, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

PRELIMINARY FACTUAL FINDINGS & CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Palace, launched in or about 2009, is a London-based skateboard shop and clothing brand, which focuses on skate wear with heavy 1990s and pop culture influences alongside VHS style marketing found online or in Palace's four international stores, including, London, Los Angeles, New York and Tokyo, where it releases new products every Friday morning across its Spring/Summer/Autumn/Winter/Holiday seasons.

2. The Palace Brand is recognized for its distinctive graphic and logo-heavy apparel designs, specifically a unique design mark comprised of a triangle with the words "Palace" written within it. The Palace triangular design, well known and recognized as the "Tri-Ferg", has been, and currently still is, applied to the Palace Products themselves, as well as tags, labels, containers, packaging and displays for the Palace Products.

¹ Where a defined term is referenced herein and not defined herein, the defined term should be understood as it is defined in the Glossary.

3. The Palace Products are sold via Palace's own retail stores located in prominent fashion epicenters such as London, Los Angeles, New York City, and Tokyo its online websites, and in retail concessions at luxury retailer Dover Street Market.

4. Since the launch of Palace approximately twelve (12) years ago, the Palace Brand and Palace Products have been featured in numerous press publications, including, but not limited to, *Vogue*, *ID Magazine* and *GQ*, among others. Palace has collaborated with brands such as Adidas, Evisu, Gore-Tex, Jean-Charles de Castelbajac, Juventus FC, Reebok, Ralph Lauren and Salomon.

5. The Palace Products retail from \$48.00 for a basic t-shirt, to \$1,000.00 for a luxury jacket.

6. While Plaintiffs have gained significant common law trademark and other rights in their Palace Marks and Palace Products through use, advertising and promotion, Plaintiffs also protected their valuable rights by filing for and obtaining federal trademark registrations.

7. For example, Plaintiffs own the following U.S. Trademark Registration Nos.: 5,225,520 for "PALACE" for goods in Classes 18, 25, 28 and 35; 5,197,782 for "PALACE




SKATEBOARDS" for goods in Classes 28 and 35; 4,762,471 for " **SKATEBOARDS** " for goods



in Classes 18, 25 and 28; 6,055,983 for " " for goods in Class 25; 5,711,377 for



" " for goods in Class 25; 5,220,519 for "  " for goods in Classes 18,

25, 28 and 35; 6,254,169 for “PALACE” for goods in Classes 35 and 41; and 6,254,168 for “



” for goods in Classes 35 and 41.

8. Defendants are manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale or Counterfeit Product through Defendants’ User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts with DHgate (*see* **Schedule A** for links to Defendants’ Merchant Storefronts and Infringing Listings).

9. DHgate is an online marketplaces and e-commerce platform, which allows manufacturers and other third-party merchants, like Defendants, to advertise, distribute, offer for sale, sell and ship their retail products, which, upon information and belief, primarily originate from China, directly to consumers worldwide and specifically to consumers residing in the U.S., including New York.

10. Defendants are not, nor have they ever been, authorized distributors or licensees of the Palace Products. Neither Plaintiffs, nor any of Plaintiffs’ authorized agents, have consented to Defendants’ use of the Palace Marks, nor have Plaintiffs consented to Defendants’ use of marks that are confusingly similar to, identical to and constitute a counterfeiting or infringement of the Palace Marks.

11. Plaintiffs are likely to prevail on its Lanham Act and related common law claims at trial.

12. As a result of Defendants’ infringements, Plaintiffs, as well as consumers, are likely to suffer immediate and irreparable losses, damages and injuries before Defendants can be heard in opposition, unless Plaintiffs’ Application for *ex parte* relief is granted:

- a. Defendants have offered for sale and sold substandard Counterfeit Products that infringe the Palace Marks;
- b. Plaintiffs have well-founded fears that more Counterfeit Products will appear in the marketplace; that consumers may be misled, confused and disappointed by the quality of these Counterfeit Products, resulting in injury to Plaintiffs' reputation and goodwill; and that Plaintiffs may suffer loss of sales for their Palace Products; and
- c. Plaintiffs have well-founded fears that if they proceed on notice to Defendants on this Application, Defendants will: (i) secret, conceal, destroy, alter, sell-off, transfer or otherwise dispose of or deal with Counterfeit Products or other goods that infringe the Palace Marks, the means of obtaining or manufacturing such Counterfeit Products, and records relating thereto that are in their possession or under their control, (ii) inform their suppliers and others of Plaintiffs' claims with the result being that those suppliers and others may also secret, conceal, sell-off or otherwise dispose of Counterfeit Products or other goods infringing the Palace Marks, the means of obtaining or manufacturing such Counterfeit Products, and records relating thereto that are in their possession or under their control, (iii) secret, conceal, transfer or otherwise dispose of their ill-gotten proceeds from its sales of Counterfeit Products or other goods infringing the Palace Marks and records relating thereto that are in their possession or under their control and/or (iv) open new User Accounts and Merchant Storefront under new or different names and continue to offer for sale and sell Counterfeit Products with little to no consequence.

13. The balance of potential harm to Defendants of being prevented from continuing to profit from their illegal and infringing activities if a temporary restraining order is issued is far outweighed by the potential harm to Plaintiffs, their business, the goodwill and reputation built up

in and associated with the Palace Marks and to their reputations if a temporary restraining order is not issued.

14. Public interest favors issuance of the temporary restraining order in order to protect Plaintiffs' interests in and to their Palace Marks, and to protect the public from being deceived and defrauded by Defendants' passing off of their substandard Counterfeit Products as Palace Products.

15. Plaintiffs have not publicized their request for a temporary restraining order in any way.

16. Service on Defendants via electronic means is reasonably calculated to result in proper notice to Defendants.

17. If Defendants are given notice of the Application, they are likely to secret, conceal, transfer or otherwise dispose of their ill-gotten proceeds from their sales of Counterfeit Products or other goods infringing the Palace Marks. Therefore, good cause exists for granting Plaintiffs' request for an asset restraining order. It typically takes the Financial Institutions a minimum of five (5) days after service of the Order to locate, attach and freeze Defendants' Assets and/or Defendants' Financial Accounts and it is anticipated that it will take the Third Party Service Providers a minimum of five (5) days to freeze Defendants' Merchant Storefronts. As such, the Court allows enough time for Plaintiffs to serve the Financial Institutions and Third Party Service Providers with this Order, and for the Financial Institutions and Third Party Service Providers to comply with the Paragraphs I(B)(1) through I(B)(2) and I(C)(1) of this Order, respectively, before requiring service on Defendants.

18. Similarly, if Defendants are given notice of the Application, they are likely to destroy, move, hide or otherwise make inaccessible to Plaintiffs the records and documents relating to Defendants' manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale and/or sale of Counterfeit Products. Therefore, Plaintiffs have good cause to be granted expedited discovery.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law, Plaintiffs' Application is hereby **GRANTED** as follows:

I. Temporary Restraining Order

A. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that Defendants are hereby restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions for fourteen (14) days from the date of this order, and for such further period as may be provided by order of the Court:

- 1) manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale, selling and/or otherwise dealing in Counterfeit Products, or any other products bearing the Palace Marks and/or marks that are confusingly similar to, identical to and constitute a counterfeiting or infringement of the Palace Marks;
- 2) directly or indirectly infringing in any manner Plaintiffs' Palace Marks;
- 3) using any reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable imitation of Plaintiffs' Palace Marks to identify any goods or service not authorized by Plaintiffs;
- 4) using Plaintiffs' Palace Marks and/or any other marks that are confusingly similar to the Palace Marks on or in connection with Defendants' manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, offering for sale, selling and/or otherwise dealing in Counterfeit Products;
- 5) using any false designation of origin or false description, or engaging in any action which is likely to cause confusion, cause mistake and/or to deceive members of the trade and/or the public as to the affiliation, connection or association of any product manufactured, imported, exported, advertised, marketed, promoted, distributed, displayed, offered for sale or sold by Defendants with Plaintiffs, and/or as to the origin,

sponsorship or approval of any product manufactured, imported, exported, advertised, marketed, promoted, distributed, displayed, offered for sale or sold by Defendants and Defendants' commercial activities and Plaintiffs;

- 6) secreting, concealing, destroying, altering, selling off, transferring or otherwise disposing of and/or dealing with: (i) Counterfeit Products and/or (ii) any computer files, data, business records, documents or any other records or evidence relating to their User Accounts, Merchant Storefronts or Defendants' Assets and the manufacture, importation, exportation, advertising, marketing, promotion, distribution, display, offering for sale and/or sale of Counterfeit Products;
- 7) effecting assignments or transfers, forming new entities or associations, or creating and/or utilizing any other platform, User Account, Merchant Storefront or any other means of importation, exportation, advertising, marketing, promotion, distribution, display, offering for sale and/or sale of Counterfeit Products for the purposes of circumventing or otherwise avoiding the prohibitions set forth in this Order; and
- 8) knowingly instructing any other person or business entity to engage in any of the activities referred to in subparagraphs I(A)(1) through I(A)(7) above and I(B)(1) below.

B. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that Defendants and all persons in active concert and participation with them who receive actual notice of this Order, including Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions who satisfy those requirements and are identified in this Order, are hereby restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions for fourteen (14) days from the date of this order, and for such further period as may be provided by order of the Court:

- 1) secreting, concealing, transferring, disposing of, withdrawing, encumbering or paying Defendants' Assets from or to Defendants' Financial Accounts until further ordered by this Court;

**II. Order to Show Cause Why A Preliminary Injunction
Should Not Issue And Order Of Notice**

- A. Defendants are hereby ORDERED to show cause before this Court on June 3, 2021 at 11 a.m. or at such other time that this Court deems appropriate, why a preliminary injunction, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(a), should not issue. The show cause hearing will take place by video or teleconference. Plaintiff shall arrange for that with the Deputy Clerk.
- B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that opposing papers, if any, shall be filed electronically with the Court and thus served on Plaintiffs' counsel at or before 2pm on May 28, 2021. Plaintiffs shall file any Reply papers electronically at or before 4pm on June 2, 2021.
- C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants are hereby given notice that failure to comply with the preceding paragraph may result in the imposition of a preliminary injunction against them pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65, which may take effect immediately upon the expiration of this Order, and may extend throughout the length of the litigation under the same terms and conditions set forth in this Order.

III. Asset Restraining Order

- A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 64 and 65 and N.Y. C.P.L.R. 6201 and this Court's inherent equitable power to issue provisional remedies ancillary to its authority to provide final equitable relief, as sufficient cause has been shown, that within five (5) days of receipt of service of this Order, the Financial Institutions shall locate and attach Defendants' Financial Accounts and shall provide written confirmation of such attachment to Plaintiffs' counsel.

IV. Order Authorizing Bifurcated and Alternative Service by Electronic Means

A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(3), as sufficient cause has been shown, that service may be made on, and shall be deemed effective as to Defendants if it is completed by the following means:

- 1) delivery of: (i) PDF copies of this Order together with the Summons and Complaint, or (ii) a link to a secure website (including NutStore, a large mail link created through Rmail.com and via website publication through a specific page dedicated to this Lawsuit accessible through ipcounselorslawsuit.com) where each Defendant will be able to download PDF copies of this Order together with the Summons and Complaint, and all papers filed in support of Plaintiffs' Application seeking this Order to Defendants' e-mail addresses to be determined after having been identified by DHgate pursuant to **Paragraph V(C)**; or
- 2) delivery of a message to Defendants through the system for communications established by the Third Party Service Providers on their respective platforms, notifying Defendants that an action has been filed against them in this Court and providing a link to a secure website (such as NutStore or a large mail link created through Rmail.com) where each Defendant will be able to download PDF copies of this Order together with the Summons and Complaint, and all papers filed in support of Plaintiffs' Application seeking this Order.

B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that such alternative service by electronic means ordered herein shall be deemed effective as to Defendants, Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions through the pendency of this action.

C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that such alternative service by electronic means ordered herein shall be made within five (5) days of the Financial Institutions and Third Party Service Providers' compliance with **Paragraphs III(A) and V(C)** of this Order.

- D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that the Clerk of the Court shall issue a single original summons directed to all Defendants as listed in an attachment to the summons that will apply to all Defendants.
- E. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that service may be made and shall be deemed effective as to the following if it is completed by the below means:
- 1) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where PayPal Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to PayPal Legal Specialist at EEOMALegalSpecialist@paypal.com;
 - 2) delivery of (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where DHgate (including DHPay.com) will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to Ms. Emily Zhou, Intellectual Property Management, Trust and Safety Department, DHgate at zhouxu@dhgate.com;
 - 3) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Payoneer Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to Payoneer Inc.'s Customer Service Management at customerservicemanager@payoneer.com and Edward Tulin, counsel for Payoneer Inc., at Edward.Tulin@skadden.com; and
 - 4) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where PingPong Global Solutions Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to PingPong Global Solutions Inc.'s Legal Department legal@pingpongx.com.

V. Order Authorizing Expedited Discovery

- A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that:
- 1) Within fourteen (14) days after receiving service of this Order, each Defendant shall serve upon Plaintiffs' counsel a written report under oath providing:
 - a. their true name and physical address;

- b. the name and location and URL of any and all websites that Defendants own and/or operate and the name, location, account numbers and URL for any and all User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts on any Third Party Service Provider platform that Defendants own and/or operate;
 - c. the complete sales records for any and all sales of Counterfeit Products, including but not limited to number of units sold, the price per unit, total gross revenues received (in U.S. dollars) and the dates thereof;
 - d. the account details for any and all of Defendants' Financial Accounts, including, but not limited to, the account numbers and current account balances; and
 - e. the steps taken by each Defendant, or other person served to comply with **Section I**, above.
- 2) Plaintiffs may serve interrogatories pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as well as Local Civil Rule 33.3 of the Local Rules for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and Defendants who are served with this Order shall provide written responses under oath to such interrogatories within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiffs' counsel.
- 3) Plaintiffs may serve requests for the production of documents pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and 34, and Defendants who are served with this Order and the requests for the production of documents shall produce all documents responsive to such requests within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiffs' counsel.
- B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that within five (5) days of receipt of service of this Order the Financial Institutions served with this Order shall identify any and all of Defendants' Financial Accounts, and provide Plaintiffs' counsel with a summary report containing account details for any and all such accounts, which shall include, at a minimum, identifying information for Defendants, including contact information for

Defendants (including, but not limited to, mailing addresses and e-mail addresses), account numbers and account balances for any and all of Defendants' Financial Accounts and confirmation of said compliance with this Order.

C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that within five (5) days of receipt of service of this Order, the Third Party Service Providers served with this Order shall identify any and all of Defendants' User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts, and provide Plaintiffs' counsel with a summary report containing account details for any and all User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts, which shall include, at a minimum, identifying information for Defendants and Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, contact information for Defendants (including, but not limited to, mailing addresses and e-mail addresses) and confirmation of said compliance with this Order.

D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that:

- 1) Within fourteen (14) days of receiving actual notice of this Order, all Financial Institutions who are served with this Order shall provide Plaintiffs' counsel all documents and records in their possession, custody or control (whether located in the U.S. or abroad) relating to any and all of Defendants' Financial Accounts, including, but not limited to, documents and records relating to:
 - a. account numbers;
 - b. current account balances;
 - c. any and all identifying information for Defendants, Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, including, but not limited to, names, addresses and contact information;
 - d. any and all account opening documents and records, including, but not limited to, account applications, signature cards, identification documents and if a business

entity, any and all business documents provided for the opening of each and every of Defendants' Financial Accounts;

- e. any and all deposits and withdrawals during the previous year from each and every one of Defendants' Financial Accounts and any and all supporting documentation, including, but not limited to, deposit slips, withdrawal slips, cancelled checks and account statements; and
- f. any and all wire transfers into each and every one of Defendants' Financial Accounts during the previous year, including, but not limited to, documents sufficient to show the identity of the destination of the transferred funds, the identity of the beneficiary's bank and the beneficiary's account number.

E. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that:

- 1) Within fourteen (14) days of receipt of service of this Order, the Third Party Service Providers served with this Order shall provide to Plaintiffs' counsel all documents and records in its possession, custody or control (whether located in the U.S. or abroad) relating to Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, including, but not limited to, documents and records relating to:
 - a. any and all User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts and account details, including, without limitation, identifying information and account numbers for any and all User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts that Defendants have ever had and/or currently maintain with the Third Party Service Providers that were not previously provided pursuant to Paragraph V(C);
 - b. the identities, location and contact information, including any and all e-mail addresses of Defendants that were not previously provided pursuant to Paragraph V(C);

- c. the nature of Defendants' businesses and operations, methods of payment, methods for accepting payment and any and all financial information, including, but not limited to, information associated with Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, a full accounting of Defendants' sales history and listing history under such accounts and Defendants' Financial Accounts with any and all Financial Institutions associated with Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts; and
- d. Defendants' manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale and/or selling of Counterfeit Products, or any other products bearing the Palace Marks and/or marks that are confusingly similar to, identical to and constitute an infringement of the Palace Marks.

VI. Security Bond


- A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall place security in the amount of Ten thousand Dollars (\$10,000) with the Court which amount is determined adequate for the payment of any damages any person may be entitled to recover as a result of an improper or wrongful restraint ordered hereunder.

VII. Sealing Order

- A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Complaint and exhibits attached thereto, and Plaintiffs' *ex parte* Application and the Declarations Thomas Hussey and Jason M. Drangel in support thereof and exhibits attached thereto and this Order shall remain sealed until further order.

SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 20th day of May, 2021, at 5:05 p.m.


VSD